

# An occurrence of the spinel end-member $Mg_2TiO_4$ and related spinel solid solutions

J. GITTINS, J. J. FAWCETT, AND J. C. RUCKLIDGE

Department of Geology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, M5S 1A1

AND

C. K. BROOKS

Institute for Petrologi, Kobenhavns Universitet, Øster Voldgade 10, DK-1350, Kobenhavns K. Denmark

**ABSTRACT.** Black, opaque grains of a spinel whose composition is  $(Mg_2TiO_4)$  85.8,  $(MgFe_2O_4)$  0.4  $(FeFe_2O_4)$  13.8 (mole %) coexist with a  $MgAl_2O_4$  spinel and geikielite in a periclase-forsterite marble that has been thermally metamorphosed against an alkalic ultramafic intrusion of Caledonian age in the Kangerdlugssuaq region of East Greenland. The spinel appears to be the closest recorded approach to the end-member  $Mg_2TiO_4$  among natural rocks, and to be part of a solid-solution series extending across the join  $Mg_2TiO_4$ - $MgFe_2O_4$ - $FeFe_2O_4$ , the existence of which has not previously been reported. The composition of the series appears to be controlled by the  $f_{O_2}$  that prevails during metamorphism.

THE compound  $Mg_2TiO_4$  is a recognized end-member of the group of spinel minerals, but it has not been reported as occurring as a distinct mineral; rather it is restricted in published analyses to perhaps 20 wt. % in a more complex spinel.

A thermally metamorphosed limestone in East Greenland has been found to contain a mineral that is 86 mole %  $Mg_2TiO_4$  and seems to be the purest form of the member yet discovered.

The western-most nunatak on the northern edge of Kangerdlugssuaq Glacier (68° 40' N, 28° 50' W) was observed by Wager and Deer in their 1935-6 sledging journeys and named by them Batbjerg. In it is an alkalic ultramafic intrusion of Caledonian age composed dominantly of nepheline pyroxenite and nepheline-leucite pyroxenite with lesser amounts of nepheline syenite (Brooks *et al.*, 1981). Part of the intrusion, possibly as much as a half, is under the ice of Kangerdlugssuaq Glacier, and the remainder has a vertical exposure of about 1500 m. The general shape of the exposed portion of the intrusion is arcuate. Although the country rocks are gneisses of amphibolite facies to possible granulite facies, there is in several places a discontinuous screen of marble and occasional quartzite

3 to 20 m in width at the igneous contact. This appears to be the cauldron-subsided remnant of a cover of Lower Palaeozoic sedimentary rocks not previously known in this part of East Greenland.

The grade of metamorphism in this marble unit ranges from only slightly recrystallized, well-bedded, fragmental limestone showing graded bedding to something approaching the pyroxene hornfels facies (periclase-forsterite-spinel-calcite). Erratically distributed in the marble are areas of skarn composed dominantly of garnet and pyroxene. Less common are muscovite, prehnite, pectolite, epidote, and alkali feldspar that suggest a complex history of fluid involvement in some stages of the metamorphism. A number of zoned nodules in the marble are composed of zones of fassaite-spinel, fassaite-garnet, diopside, wollastonite, pectolite, and a quartz core. Others consist of soda-rich melilite cores surrounded by symplectites of kalsilite-diopside-wollastonite and nepheline-diopside-wollastonite with isolated larger grains of titaniferous fassaite and leucite. These nodules also seem to represent a complex metamorphic history in which alkali-alumina metasomatism has been superimposed on high temperature thermal metamorphism. (Gittins *et al.*, 1977).

The general geological setting is, therefore, a high-temperature alkalic ultramafic intrusion of subvolcanic to shallow plutonic character that has thermally metamorphosed a screen of limestone and subjected it to fluid metasomatism.

*The occurrence of  $Mg_2TiO_4$  and related spinels.* A particularly interesting facies of the marble consists dominantly of calcite with forsterite, periclase (largely hydrated to brucite), transparent spinel, tiny blood red grains of geikielite, and minute opaque black grains of  $Mg_2TiO_4$ . All the rocks are highly magnesian with only a low tenor

of iron. Other marbles that do not contain  $Mg_2TiO_4$  have, in addition to the minerals mentioned, a fassaite clinopyroxene with up to 12% of the Si site substituted by Al. Fassaite is commonly  $Ca_{50}Mg_{45}Fe_5$  but extends to  $Ca_{50}Mg_{35}Fe_{15}$ . Forsterite is  $Fe_{0.99,5-0.98,0}$ . Geikielite ( $Mg_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}$ )  $TiO_3$ , is very close to the ideal composition. (Examples of the spinel compositions are shown in Table I). The ubiquitous transparent spinel is approximately 90%  $MgAl_2O_4$  but has a small range of Fe:Al of  $Mg_{34}Al_{66}$  (pale mauve) to  $Mg_{33}Fe_8Al_{59}$  (brown) suggesting that  $Fe^{3+} \rightleftharpoons Al^{3+}$  substitution has occurred (fig. 1). Calcite is somewhat magnesian with MgO contents corresponding to equilibrium temperatures between 650 and 800°C. These, however, must be considered minimum temperatures since it is not known whether, during the rapid reaction rates of thermal metamorphism accompanying cauldron subsidence, equilibrium would have been reached.

The purest form of  $Mg_2TiO_4$  discovered in these rocks is about 86 mole %. It appears to be in the spinel join  $Mg_2TiO_4$ - $MgFe_2O_4$  (magnesioferrite)- $FeFe_2O_4$  (magnetite). Within this plane the composition can be calculated as  $Mg_2TiO_4$  85.8,  $MgFe_2O_4$  0.4,  $FeFe_2O_4$  13.8 mole % (figs. 2, 3) and the mineral is, thus, essentially a  $Mg_2TiO_4$ -magnetite solid solution.

It has not been possible so far to determine any of the physical properties of  $Mg_2TiO_4$  owing to




FIG. 1. A portion of ternary spinel plane expressed as atomic Mg-Al-Fe. The dashed line is the join  $MgAl_2O_4$  (spinel)- $FeAl_2O_4$  (hercynite). Shown by solid dots are the aluminian spinels found in the marbles.

the small size of the grains (< 0.1 mm), their relatively small number and the fact that the mineral has been found in only one specimen. Several other specimens, however, contain grains whose compositions appear to cover a range extending toward the join  $MgFe_2O_4$  (magnesioferrite)- $FeFe_2O_4$  (magnetite). The ferric/ferrous ratio of these analyses is not known absolutely since they are by electron microprobe but they have been recalculated making the normal assumptions for spinel stoichiometry. It is clear that the content of  $Fe^{3+}$  must increase progressively as the compositions become less titaniferous. It appears, therefore, that a solid solution series of quaternary spinels exists in the plane  $Mg_2TiO_4$ - $Fe_2TiO_4$ - $MgFe_2O_4$ - $FeFe_2O_4$  and essentially on the ternary plane  $Mg_2TiO_4$ - $MgFe_2O_4$ - $FeFe_2O_4$  (figs. 2, 3). Geikielite accompanies only those members whose Mg content is within 50 mole % of  $Mg_2TiO_4$ .

In fig. 3 these compositions are plotted in the ternary plane. Analyses 1 and 2 show the essentially binary solid solution between  $Mg_2TiO_4$  and  $FeFe_2O_4$  to about 50%. At this composition geikielite is no longer found in the rocks and Mg apparently enters the solid solution series as  $MgFe_2O_4$  causing the solid solutions to become essentially ternary (anal. 3).

Since the magnesioferrite-magnetite end of the series obviously requires a higher  $f_{O_2}$  than the member which is 86%  $Mg_2TiO_4$ , the absence of geikielite in the less titaniferous assemblages is probably attributable to the same cause, which in turn probably reflects wide variations in  $f_{O_2}$  associated with fluid transfer along fractures in the marble during metamorphism. It seems likely, then, that  $f_{O_2}$  exercises a close control on the composition of the spinel solid solution series in two ways. In the first place geikielite can crystallize along with a  $Mg_2TiO_4$ - $FeFe_2O_4$  spinel up to a critical value


TABLE I. Electron probe analyses

	1	2	3	4	5
SiO <sub>2</sub>	—	0.16	0.28	—	—
TiO <sub>2</sub>	38.58	18.26	6.96	1.15	65.42
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.75	3.56	2.74	64.36	—
FeO	6.26†	16.92†	16.84†	4.62*	2.45*
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	11.82†	38.50†	53.66†	—	—
MnO	0.41	0.81	0.26	—	0.15
MgO	39.09	20.48	16.58	28.53	30.98
CaO	0.31	0.27	0.09	0.30	0.16
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	—	—	—	1.04	—
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	—	0.34	—	—	—
Total	99.21	99.30	97.41	100.00	99.55
$Mg_2TiO_4$	85.8	48.5	18.9	—	—
$FeFe_2O_4$	13.8	49.3	50.8	—	—
$MgFe_2O_4$	0.4	2.2	30.3	—	—

1 and 2. Opaque spinel from calcite-periclase-two spinel-geikielite forsterite marble. 3. Opaque spinel from calcite-periclase-two spinel-forsterite marble (geikielite absent). 4. Pale mauve spinel accompanying opaque spinel, anal. 1 above. 5. Geikielite accompanying opaque spinel, anal. 1 above.

\* Total iron expressed as FeO.

† FeO and  $Fe_2O_3$  have been calculated from the requirements of spinel stoichiometry with magnetite the last component in the order of apportioning elements.



FIGS. 2 and 3. FIG. 2 (left). The quaternary volume  $\text{TiO}_2$ - $\text{MgO}$ - $\text{FeO}$ - $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ . Within it are shown the joins  $\text{MgTiO}_3$  (geikielite)- $\text{FeTiO}_3$  (ilmenite) and the quaternary spinel plane  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$ - $\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_4$ - $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$  (magnesioferrite)- $\text{FeFe}_2\text{O}_4$  (magnetite). Numbered points are the representation of analyses presented in Table I. Diagram is in mole %. FIG. 3 (right). The ternary spinel plane  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$ - $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ - $\text{FeFe}_2\text{O}_4$  with the three spinels whose compositions are shown in Table I.

of  $f_{\text{O}_2}$  which is not yet known. Progressive increase of  $f_{\text{O}_2}$  increases the magnetite component of the spinel at the expense of the  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  component and the excess Ti is taken up as geikielite. Secondly, when the critical value of  $f_{\text{O}_2}$  above which geikielite is no longer stable is exceeded, geikielite ceases to crystallize and the Mg content of the spinel solid solution sharply increases in the form of  $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ . The exact composition that forms is probably a complex function of  $f_{\text{O}_2}$  and total available Ti. In the examples described here it seems likely that a progressive increase of  $f_{\text{O}_2}$  has occurred until a value was reached at which it became buffered.

We know of no records, either of spinels so close to the end-member composition  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$ , or of a solid-solution series of the compositions shown. Although Muan *et al.* (1972) have studied the composition  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  the study was at liquidus temperatures for which a solvus exists on the join  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$ - $\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4$  up to about 1370°C at atmospheric pressure. At least this study explains the coexistence, however, of the titanian and aluminian spinels since the temperature of metamorphism would have been well below this value.

The minerals reported here are present as minute opaque grains and would remain unrecognized except in a detailed grain-by-grain microprobe

study. It seems likely that other high-temperature thermal aureoles and marble xenoliths might yield the presence of the same spinels if examined in sufficient detail.

*Acknowledgements.* The present work has arisen out of the joint field operations of the Universities of Copenhagen and Toronto in the Kangerdlugssuaq region of East Greenland under the direction of C. K. Brooks. These studies are funded by the Danish National Research Council, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (formerly NRC) and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization. Analyses were performed at the University of Cambridge by J. Gittins while on sabbatical leave and it is a pleasure to record our gratitude to Professor W. A. Deer for the use of the electron microprobe. We are further indebted to Drs J. V. P. Long, N. D. Charnley, P. J. Treloar, and D. McKie for assistance and hospitality at Cambridge.

## REFERENCES

- Brooks, C. K., Fawcett, J. J., Gittins, J., and Rucklidge, J. C. (1981) *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **18**, 274-85.  
 Gittins, J., Nielson, P. A., Fawcett, J. J., Brooks, C. K., and Rucklidge, J. C. (1977) *Trans. Am. Geophys. Union*, **58**, 517.  
 Muan, A., Hauck, J., and Löfall, T. (1972) *Proc. Third Lunar Sci. Conf.* **1**, 185-96.